Susceptibility to Measles, Rubella, Mumps, and Varicella-zoster Viruses among Healthcare Workers

Cenk Aypak¹, Yasemin Bayram², Hayriye Eren², Adalet Altunsoy³ and Mustafa Berktaş⁴

¹Department of Family Medicine, Gevas State Hospital, Turkey ²Department of Microbiology, Van Training and Research Hospital, Turkey ³Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease, Van Training and Research Hospital, Turkey ⁴Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Van Yüzücü Yıl University, Turkey

Abstract

Background: It is important to identify and immunize susceptible healthcare workers to prevent and control hospital infections. Our aim was to evaluate the specific antibodies against the measles, mumps, and rubella viruses and the varicella zoster virus among healthcare workers in a tertiary-care hospital.

Patients and Methods: A total of 284 healthcare workers (89 men and 195 women; mean age, 33.5 ± 11 years), including 111 nurses, 87 physicians, 34 laboratory technicians, and 52 members of the housekeeping staff, of Van Training and Research Hospital were enrolled in this study. Antibodies were detected with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Results: The numbers of workers with serological susceptibility to mumps, measles, rubella, or chicken pox were 26 (9.2%), 18 (6.3%), 7 (2.5%), and 5 (1.8%), respectively. Although the difference was not statistical significant, the rate of seroprevalence of antibodies was lowest for measles (90.8%; p>0.05). Susceptibility to measles, mumps, and rubella, and chicken pox was more prevalent among young healthcare workers (p<0.001). Not all healthcare workers born before 1957 were immune to these vaccine-preventable diseases.

Conclusion: These data confirm that screening and vaccination of susceptible healthcare workers is essential regardless of age.

(J Nippon Med Sch 2012; 79: 453-458)

Key words: measles, mumps, rubella, varicella, healthcare worker

Introduction

Measles, mumps, rubella, and chicken pox are vaccine-preventable infectious diseases to which healthcare workers are exposed more often than is the general population¹⁻⁴. These infections can be considered occupational hazards for healthcare workers and can result in serious illness and be spread to other susceptible persons³⁶.

Immunity of healthcare workers against vaccinepreventable diseases is a special concern, because

Correspondence to Cenk Aypak, MD, Department of Family Medicine, Gevas State Hospital, Van 65110, Turkey E-mail: cenkaypak@yahoo.com

Journal Website (http://www.nms.ac.jp/jnms/)

epidemics of measles and rubella continue to occur all around the world. For example, during a worldwide epidemic of measles from 1985 through 1991, approximately 60% of adults who contracted measles were healthcare workers³⁵.

For these reasons in the present study we aimed to evaluate the immune status of healthcare workers against mumps, measles, rubella, and chicken pox in the largest hospital in Van, Turkey.

Methods

Setting and Subjects

Van Training and Research Hospital is a 400-bed, tertiary-care hospital with 550 employees. From September through October 2010, serologic testing for the measles, mumps, rubella viruses and the varicella zoster virus (VZV) was recommended to healthcare workers who had not have any documentation of vaccination, history of these diseases, or serologic evidence of immunity, regardless of age. The workers were divided into 4 job categories: physicians, nurses, laboratory technicians, and housekeeping staff. Blood samples were obtained for testing of antibody titers from 284 healthcare workers. Serum was separated from the blood and was kept refrigerated at 4°C until testing.

Reagents and Antibody Assays

Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies against the mumps, measles, and rubella viruses and VZV were detected with а qualitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Grifols Triturus, Grifols, S.A., Barcelona, Spain). The reagents used for the antibody assays were Enzygnost Anti-Measles Virus/IgG, Anti-Mumps Virus/IgG IgG, Anti-Rubella Virus/IgG IgG, and Anti-VZV Virus/IgG IgG (Vircell S.L., Santa Fé, Spain). IgG index values greater than 1.1 IU/mL were regarded as positive. All tests were performed and interpreted according to the manufacturers' instructions. All equivocal titers were retested. If the retesting result was equivocal again, it was considered nonreactive.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were done with a software

Table 1	Characteristics of the healthcare
	workers tested

Characteristic	Number (%) of patients		
Sex			
Men	89 (31.3)		
Women	195 (68.7)		
Age in years (mean±SD)	33.5 ± 11		
Age groups			
≤ 25	72 (25.4)		
26-35	122 (42.9)		
36-45	53 (18.7)		
46-55	16 (5.6)		
≥ 56	21 (7.4)		
Job category			
Physicians	87 (30.6)		
Nurses	111 (39.1)		
Laboratory technicians	34 (12)		
Housekeeping staff	52 (18.3)		

package (SPSS for Windows, Version 18.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical variables were compared by means of the chi-square test of independence or Fisher's exact test when conditions for a chi-square test were not met. A *P*-value less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. All tests were two-sided.

Results

This study included 284 healthcare workers in a tertiary hospital in Van, comprising 111 nursing staff, 87 physicians, 34 laboratory technicians and 52 housekeeping staff (**Table 1**). Their ages ranged from 18 to 65 years (mean \pm standard deviation, 33.5 \pm 11 years); the largest cohort of workers were aged 26 to 35 years, and 24 (8.5%) workers were born before 1957. Nurses (mean age, 28.5 \pm 7.9 years) were younger than physicians (41.5 \pm 12 years), laboratory technicians (33.8 \pm 9.5 years), or housekeeping staff (30.8 \pm 8 years). Eighty-nine subjects were men, and 195 were women.

The rates of serological immunity in the study population were 90.8% for measles, 93.7% for mumps, 97.5% for rubella, and 98.2% for chicken pox. The rate of immunity to measles was lower than that to mumps, rubella, or chicken pox but no significantly lower (p>0.05). Forty-three (15.1%) healthcare

	Men n (%)	Women n (%)	Mean age (±SD*)	Physician n (%)	Nurse n (%)	Technician n (%)	Housekeepe n (%)
Measles							
Immune	83 (98)	175 (89.7)	33.9 (10.9)	84 (96.6)	99 (89.2)	30 (88.2)	46 (88.5)
Susceptible	6 (2)	20 (10.3)	29.7 (11.4)	3 (3.4)	12 (10.8)	4 (11.8)	6 (11.5)
P value	.341		.000	.062	.438	.574	.593
Mumps							
Immune	85 (95.5)	180 (92.3)	34 (11)	85 (97.7)	101 (91)	32 (94.1)	47 (90.4)
Susceptible	4 (4.5)	15 (7.7)	27.2 (9.8)	2 (2.3)	10 (9)	2 (5.9)	5 (9.6)
P value	.317		.000	.069	.210	.841	.350
Rubella							
Immune	86 (96.6)	189 (96.9)	33.7 (11.1)	87 (100)	106 (95.5)	34 (100)	50 (96.2)
Susceptible	3 (3.4)	6 (3.1)	29.1 (5.4)	0	5 (4.5)	0	2 (3.8)
P value	.896		.000	.043	.076	.261	.758
Chicken pox							
Immune	89 (100)	190 (97.4)	33.6 (10.9)	87 (100)	106 (95.5)	34 (100)	52 (100)
Susceptible	0	5 (2.6)	26.4 (11.7)	0	5 (4.5)	0	0
P value	.127		.000	.134	.005	.405	.285

Table 2 Serologic results of healthcare workers subdivided according to sex, mean age, and job category

* : Standard deviation

Table 3 Immunity against measles, mumps, rubella, and chicken pox by age group

Age – (n)	Measles		Mumps		Rubella		Chicken pox	
	Immune n (%)	Susceptible n (%)						
≤ 25 (72)	57 (79.2)	15 (20.8)	58 (80.6)	14 (19.4)	70 (97.2)	2 (2.8)	65	3
26-35 (122)	118 (96.7)	4 (3.3)	120 (98.4)	2 (1.6)	118 (96.7)	4 (3.3)	120	1
36-45 (53)	49 (92.5)	4 (7.5)	52 (98.1)	1 (1.9)	52 (98.1)	1 (1.9)	53	1
46-55 (16)	15 (93.8)	1 (6.2)	16 (100)	0	16 (100)	0	16	0
≥56 (21)	19 (90.5)	2 (9.5)	20 (95.2)	1 (4.8)	21 (100)	0	21	0
TOTAL	258 (90.8)	24 (9.2)	266 (93.7)	18 (6.3)	277 (97.5)	7 (2.5)	279 (98.2)	5 (1.8)

workers were nonimmune to at least 1 disease. Although it was for statistically significant only for mumps, the rate of seronegativity was lower in physicians than in other staff. All VZV-seronegative healthcare workers were nurses. Rates of seronegativity did not differ significantly between men and women. However, seronegativity was significantly associated with young age (p<0.001, Table 2); 12.5% (3 of 24) of those born before 1957 were seronegative. One of these workers was susceptible to mumps, and 2 were susceptible to measles. The immune status of the study population according to age groups is shown in Table 3.

Discussion

This study analyzed the immune status of

J Nippon Med Sch 2012; 79 (6)

healthcare workers against vaccine-preventable diseases. Susceptibility among healthcare workers varies by different geographical area. For example, the rates of seropositivity for mumps, measles, and rubella viruses, and VZV were reported to be 98.2%, 85.9%, 97.6%, and 97.9%, respectively, in Italy⁶ and 93%, 84%, 88%, and 97.2%, respectively, in Japan⁷, and seropositivity rates for measles, mumps, and rubella were reported to be 98.3%, 83.0%, and 96.6%, respectively, in Australia8. Few data are available on immunity of healthcare workers in Turkey. Celikbas et al.9 have reported rates of seropositivity in a major hospital in Turkey for measles, mumps, rubella, and VZV to be 98.6%, 92.2%, 98.3%, and 98%, respectively. Hatipoglu et al.10 found rates of immunity to be 97.5% for measles, 72.8% for mumps, 100% for rubella, and 96.3% for chicken pox. Previous studies have revealed that Western European countries have higher rates of immunity than does the United States or Eastern countries, with rates of immunity to mumps being lower than those for measles, rubella, and chicken pox^{8,11-14}. In addition, the prevalence of antibodies to rubella among healthcare workers is considerably lower in Japan than in other countries⁷. Immunization rates in our hospital were similar to those previously reported in other countries and were higher than 90% for all job categories.

Physicians had the lowest susceptibility rates among healthcare workers tested. This might be due to their age (the oldest group among the study population), but it should also be kept in mind that physicians are more frequently exposed to these infections because of their professional duties. Dinelli et al. have also shown that other members of the staff were less frequently immunized than were physicians¹⁵.

The measles susceptibility rate of 9.2% among our healthcare workers is slightly higher than the rates in previous reports⁶⁻¹⁴. Although the guidelines of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have considered birth before 1957 to be acceptable evidence of immunity to measles, rubella (except for women of child-bearing age), and mumps, the age for assumed immunity varies from country to country³⁵. From 1985 through 1991, 27% of all measles cases among US healthcare workers occurred in people born before 1957³⁵. We found a significant association between younger age and seronegativity. This finding was consistent with previous studies that have shown that younger age groups have lower levels of immunity16-21. The Turkish Ministery of Health changed the childhood vaccination program in 2006 so that 2 doses of the measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine (MMR vaccine) are given: the first at the age of 1 year, and the second at the age of 4 to 6 years. Thus, the healthcare workers of our hospital were not included in the childhood vaccination program. Failure to receive 2 doses of the MMR vaccine, in addition to the decreasing prevalence of measles in Turkey, may drive the high susceptibility rates seen among young healthcare workers. Our data have revealed that not all workers born before 1957 are immune: 1 was susceptible to mumps, and 2 were susceptible to measles. Therefore, in Turkey, birth before 1957 should not be regarded as evidence of immunity to mumps or measles.

Varicella is an endemic disease in Turkey, and most individuals are immune by adolescence²². Our findings were in line with previous studies. The highest rate of seropositivity was found against VZV in our hospital. Immunity against chicken pox in healthcare workers was also high in other countries. It was found to be 94% in Israel²³, 96% in Japan⁷, 1% to 5% in the United States^{24,25}, and 1.5% in Belgium²⁶. Hatipoglu et al.¹⁰ have reported a seropositivity rate to VZV among healthcare workers of 96.3%, which was lower than in our hospital.

The widely accepted recommendations for serological testing were based on a susceptibility prevalence of 8.8%²⁷. Although labor-intensive, seroprevalence surveys of vaccine-preventable diseases among healthcare workers are invaluable. Because age is not a reliable criterion to predict immune status, We recommend, as do other researchers, serological screening of healthcare workers, despite its cost, to detect susceptible staff and vaccinating them to protect the hospital staff and prevent disease transmission, limit outbreaks, and reduce the resulting costs incurred^{69,28}. Studies comparing the costs of dealing with an outbreak and the costs of an active screening and vaccination program for healthcare workers has shown the latter approach can save money and prevent morbidity^{29,30}. It has been stated that all healthcare workers new to a healthcare facility should be screened for immunity to vaccine-preventable diseases within 10 working days after starting their job9. A rate of immunity greater than 94% is necessary to prevent the transmission of viruses³¹.

Our study was limited by the fact that it did not have serological data for all employed healthcare workers. The study relied on staff voluntarily presenting for screening; therefore, it was not a random sample of staff. Those screened may have had a greater commitment to ensuring their immunity to infectious diseases. Rates of nonimmunity among those not screened could potentially be greater than in our screened subset.

Conclusions

The immunity rates in the present study were high, but a significant proportion of employees are not immune to measles. To prevent infection in susceptible employees and to reduce the likelihood of nosocomial transmission to patients, nonimmune healthcare workers should be vaccinated against measles, mumps, rubella, and chicken pox.

Statement of Conflict of Interest: The authors state no conflict of interest.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Measles prevention: recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1989; 38 (S-9): 1–18.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Rubella prevention: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1990; 39 (RR-15): 1– 13.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Measles, mumps, and rubella-vaccine use and strategies for elimination of measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome and control of mumps: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep 1998; 47 (RR-8): 1–57.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Prevention of varicella: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1999; 48 (RR-6): 1–5.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Immunization of healthcare workers: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1997; 46 (RR-18): 1–42.
- Fedeli U, Zanetti C, Saia B: Susceptibility of healthcare workers to measles, mumps rubella and varicella. J Hosp Infect 2002; 51: 133–135.
- 7. Hatakeyama S, Moriya K, Itoyama S, et al.: Prevalence of measles, rubella, mumps, and varicella antibodies among healthcare workers in Japan. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004; 25: 591–594.
- Ferson MJ, Robertson PW, Whybin LR: Cost effectiveness of prevaccination screening of health care workers for immunity to measles, rubella and mumps. Med J Aust 1994; 160: 478–482.
- 9. Celikbas A, Ergonul O, Aksaray S, et al.: Measles, rubella, mumps, and varicella seroprevalence among

health care workers in Turkey: is prevaccination screening cost-effective? Am J Infect Control 2006; 34: 583–587.

- Hatipoglu C, Ergin F, Tuncer GE, Bulut C, Berkem R, Demiroz AP: Reliability of self-reported history in predicting immunity against measles, rubella, mumps, and varicella among healthcare workers. Turk J Med Sc 2010; 40: 937–941.
- 11. Gallagher J, Quaid B, Cryan B: Susceptibility to varicella zoster virus infection in health care workers. Occup Med 1996; 46: 289–292.
- 12. Vandersmissen G, Moens G, Vranckx R, de Schryver A, Jacques P: Occupational risk of infection by varicella zoster virus in Belgian healthcare workers: a seroprevalence study. Occup Environ Med 2000; 57: 621–626.
- 13. Chan PKS, Li CY, Tam JS, Cheng AF: Rubella immune status among healthcare workers in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of a regional hospital in Hong Kong: the need for a vaccination policy. J Hosp Infect 1999; 42: 239–242.
- Atkinson WL, Hadler SC, Redd SB, Orenstein WA: Measles surveillance United States, 1991. MMWR CDC Surveill Summ 1992; 41: 1–12.
- 15. Dinelli MI, Moreira TN, Paulino ER, da Rocha MC, Graciani FB, de Moraes-Pinto MI: Immune status and risk perception of acquisition of vaccine preventable diseases among health care workers. Am J Infect Control 2009; 37: 858–860.
- Vagholkar S, Ng J, Chan RC, Bunker JM, Zwar NA: Healthcare workers and immunity to infectious diseases. Aust N Z J Public Health 2008; 32: 367–371.
- 17. Trevisan A, Morandin M, Frasson C, et al.: Prevalence of childhood exanthematic disease antibodies in paramedical students: need of vaccination. Vaccine 2006; 24: 171–176.
- Kanbur NO, Derman O, Kutluk T: Age-specific mumps seroprevalence of an unvaccinated population of adolescents in Ankara, Turkey. Jpn J Infect Dis 2003; 56: 213–215.
- Egemen A, Aksit S, Ozacar T, et al.: Measles seroprevalence in Izmir with special emphasis on measles vaccination policy for Turkey. Pediatr Int 2001; 43: 379–384.
- Aksit S, Egemen A, Ozacar T, et al.: Rubella seroprevalence in an unvaccinated population in Izmir: recommendations for rubella vaccination in Turkey. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1999; 18: 577–580.
- Alp H, Altinkaynak S, Ertekin V, Kilicaslan B, Giiraksin A: Seroepidemiology of varicella-zoster virus infection in a cosmopolitan city (Erzurum) in the eastern Turkey. Health Policy 2005; 72: 119–124.
- Güriş D, Bayazit Y, Ozdemirer U, et al.: Measles epidemiology and elimination strategies in Turkey. J Infect Dis 2003; 187: 230–234.
- Gallagher J, Quaid B, Cryan B: Susceptibility to varicella zoster virus infection in health care workers. Occup Med 1996; 46: 289–292.
- Brunell PA, Wood D: Varicella serological status of healthcare workers as a guide to whom to test or immunize. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999; 20: 355–357.
- 25. Quereshi M, Gordon SM, Yen-Lieberman B, Litaker DG: Controlling varicella in the healthcare setting: barriers to varicella vaccination among healthcare

workers. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999; 20: 516–518.

- Vandermissen G, Mosens G, Vranckx R, deSchryver A, Jacques P: Occupational risk of infection by varicella-zoster virus in Belgian healthcare workers: a seroprevalence study. Occup Environ Med 2000; 57: 621–626.
- 27. De Juanes JR, Gil A, San-Martin M, Gonzalez A, Esteban J, Garcia De Codes A: Seroprevalence of varicella antibodies in healthcare workers and health sciences students: reliability of self-reported history of varicella. Vaccine 2005; 23: 1434–1436.
- 28. Trevisan A, Frasson C, Morandin M, et al.: Immunity against infectious diseases: predictive value of self-reported history of vaccination and

disease. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007; 28: 564–569.

- O'Neill J, Buttery J: Varicella and paediatric staff: current practice and vaccine cost-effectiveness. J Hosp Infect 2003; 53: 117–119.
- Almuneef M, Dillon J, Abbas M, Memish Z: Varicella zoster virus immunity in multinational health care workers of a Saudi Arabian hospital. Am J Infect Control 2003; 31: 375–381.
- 31. Agut H: Prevention and control of viral hospital infections. Am J Infect Control 2001; 29: 244–246.

(Received, September 18, 2011) (Accepted, February 16, 2012)