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#### Abstract

We performed a cross-sectional survey to investigate actual clinical practice concerning blood-pressure control among patients with hypertension in Kanagawa. The guidelines of the Japanese Society of Hypertension (JSH) for the management of patients with hypertension were revised in 2014. From October 1 to November 30, 2014, questionnaires on the care of patients with hypertension were sent via post to members of the Kanagawa Physicians Association in Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan. -Data on 1,105 patients (mean age: $68.4 \pm 12.3$ years, 537 men and 568 women) were obtained. The overall mean systolic blood pressure (BP) of these patients was $128.7 \pm 12.1 \mathrm{mmHg}$ for home monitoring and $132.9 \pm 12.6$ mmHg for office monitoring; diastolic BP was $75.7 \pm 9.7$ for home monitoring and $77.0 \pm 9.7 \mathrm{mmHg}$ for office monitoring. According to the JSH 2014 guidelines, the target BP was achieved by $68.1 \%$ of all subjects; $89.2 \%$ of late-phase elderly patients ( 75 years or older); $69.1 \%$ of young, middle-aged, and earlyphase elderly patients (younger than 75 years except in patients with diabetes mellitus [DM] or chronic kidney disease [CKD] with proteinuria); $9.3 \%$ of patients with DM except late-phase elderly patients; and $11.9 \%$ of CKD patients with proteinuria except DM. Cross-sectional analysis showed that the factors significantly associated with an increased likelihood of achieving the target BP were as follows: 1) good medication compliance even for a small number of antihypertensive agents at small amount of doses in patients 75 years and older; 2) good medication compliance in patients in younger than 75 years; 3) an older age, a larger proportion in the female-to-male ratio and a lower body mass index in patients with DM except late-phase elderly patients; and 4) usage of a large number of antihypertensive agents in CKD patients with proteinuria. Further follow-up surveys are necessary to investigate changes in clinical practice following the introduction of the revised guidelines.
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## Introduction

Elevated blood pressure (BP) is considered a common risk factor for stroke and cardiovascular disease ${ }^{1,2}$, and it is recognized that the normalization of blood pressure is the most important factor in reducing morbidity and mortality ${ }^{3,4}$. In this context, several hypertension management guidelines have been established for patients with hypertension ${ }^{5-7}$.

The Japanese Society of Hypertension (JSH) first published guidelines for the management of hypertension in 2000 (JSH 2000), with revisions in $2004^{9}$ and $2009^{10}$. We
investigated clinical practice concerning BP control in patients with hypertension according to the JSH 2009 guidelines in Kanagawa Prefecture on 2008, 2009, and $2011^{11,12}$. These studies showed that, in the management of patients with hypertension, the target BP was achieved in $53.9 \%$ of patients in $2008,55.1 \%$ in 2009 , and $57.1 \%$ in 2011. The JSH revised its hypertension guidelines in April 2014. Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate clinical practice in Kanagawa Prefecture as it concerned BP control in patients with hypertension according to the JSH 2014 guidelines.
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Fig. 1 Patient registration and flow chart

## Patients and Methods

## Data and Subjects

The present study was performed in Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan, from October 1 to November 30, 2014. The survey was based on our previous studies, which have been described in detail ${ }^{11,12}$. A questionnaire was mailed to 1,572 members of the Kanagawa Physicians Association. The questionnaire contained questions on patients' age, sex, body-mass index, concomitant disorders (diabetes mellitus [DM], coronary artery diseases [CAD], stroke, and chronic kidney disease [CKD]), alcohol consumption, current smoking habits, office-measured systolic and diastolic BPs, home BP monitoring, types of antihypertensive agents and their doses, who measured the office BP and what kind of equipment was used, who judged the BP levels, and how effective the medication compliance was. To avoid selection bias, patients were selected as follows: if the final number of the patient's identification number or telephone number matched the final number of the patient's consultation date, he or she was enrolled in the study. To enable dose analysis, the doses of all antihypertensive drugs were adjusted to standard doses that were in accordance with Japanese clinical practice. For example, the standard dose of valsartan is 80 mg , which was counted as 1.0 point ${ }^{12}$.

## Data Analysis

We divided patients into four groups according to the JSH 2014 guidelines: 1) late-phase elderly patients (75 years or older) including patients with DM and CKD with or without proteinuria; 2) young, middle-aged, and early-phase elderly patients (younger than 75 years) without DM and CKD with proteinuria, including patients with CAD and CKD without proteinuria; 3) patients with DM who were not late-phase elderly; and 4) CKD patients with proteinuria but not DM who were not
late-phase elderly. Within these groups, we analyzed which patient BP targets, according to the JSH 2014 guidelines, were and were not achieved. If there was a difference in achievement in target BP levels between office and home BP measurements, the achievement of the target BP level at home was preferred. In cases where home BP data were absent, they were determined by office BP (46 cases).

## Statistical Analysis

All data were entered into a computer and analyzed with the IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 software program (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA). Means and standard deviations were calculated for continuous variables and proportions were calculated for categorical variables. The chi-square test was used for comparisons between categorical variables. The Student's $t$-test was used for comparisons with continuous variables. For comparing the total number of antihypertensive drugs and scores, a non-parametric method such as the Mann-Whitney test was employed since the data were not met in the normal distribution. A $p$ value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

## Results

Data were collected on 1,106 patients. One patient was excluded owing to insufficient patient characteristics (Fig. 1). Thus, the study population consisted of 1,105 patients ( 537 men and 568 women) (Table 1). The mean patient age was $68.7 \pm 12.3$ years. There were 198 patients with DM, 70 with non-diabetic proteinuria, 68 with CAD, and 48 with cerebrovascular disease (CVD). The reasons for home BP monitoring were as follows: recommended by physicians, $83.4 \%$; patients voluntarily monitored, $8.1 \%$; and noncontrolled office BP, $4.8 \%$. Office BPs were mostly measured by physicians using manometers (34\%). The average home systolic BP was $128.7 \pm 12.1 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and average home diastolic BP was $75.7 \pm 9.7 \mathrm{mmHg}$. The average office systolic BP was $132.9 \pm 12.6 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and average office diastolic BP was $77.0 \pm 9.7 \mathrm{mmHg}$. The average number of prescribed drugs was $1.99 \pm 0.9$. Angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) were the most frequently prescribed ( $78.0 \%$ ).

## Achievement Ratio of Target BP According to JSH

 2014 GuidelinesThe rate of BP in each of the four groups according to the JSH 2014 guidelines are shown in Table 2. The overall rate of controlled BP was $68.1 \%$ ( 753 out of 1,105). In patients 75 year and older, the rate of controlled BP was $89.2 \%$, which was the highest rate in the groups. The lowest rate was $9.3 \%$ in patients with DM. The rate of BP

Table 1 Characteristics and results of 1,105 hypertensive patients

| Age (years) | $68.4 \pm 12.3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex (male/female) | 537/568 (48.6\%/51 |  |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | $24.5 \pm 5.4$ |  |
| Current smoker | 186 (16.8\%) |  |
| Current drinker | 329 (29.7\%) |  |
| Concomitant disease |  |  |
| DM | 198 (17.9\%) |  |
| CKD | 152 (13.7\%) |  |
| CKD with proteinuria | 113 (10.2\%) |  |
| Non-DM | 70 ( 6.3\%) |  |
| DM | 43 ( 3.8\%) |  |
| CAD | 68 ( 6.1\%) |  |
| CVD | 48 ( 4.3\%) |  |
| Patients measured BP at home for the following reasons: |  |  |
| recommended | $922 \text { (83.4\%) }$ |  |
| noncontrolled BP | 53 ( 4.8\%) |  |
| voluntarily | 90 ( 8.1\%) |  |
| unknown | 40 ( 3.6\%) |  |
| Office BP measured by |  |  |
| physicians using cuff-oscillometric method | 287 (25.9\%) |  |
| nurses | 351 (31.7\%) |  |
| patients (self-monitoring) | 76 ( 6.9\%) |  |
| unknown | 15 ( 1.4\%) |  |
| Home |  |  |
| Systolic BP ( mmHg ) | $128.7 \pm 12.1$ |  |
| Diastolic BP ( mmHg ) | $75.7 \pm 9.7$ |  |
| Pulse rate (beats/min) | $67.7 \pm 9.8$ |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | $132.9 \pm 12.6$ |  |
| Diastolic BP ( mmHg ) | $77.0 \pm 9.7$ |  |
| Pulse rate (beats/min) | $74.0 \pm 12.6$ |  |
| Total number of antihypertensive drugs | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number (Ratio) } \\ 1.99 \pm 0.90 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Score } \\ 1.93 \pm 1.21 \end{gathered}$ |
| Class of drugs |  |  |
| Ca channel blockers (CCB) | $841 \text { (76.1\%) }$ | $1.15 \pm 0.62$ |
| Single ingredient | $593$ |  |
| Compound drug |  |  |
| ARB/CCB | 234 |  |
| Amlodipine/Atorvastatin | 20 |  |
| (single and compound) | (-6) |  |
| Angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) | 868 (78.0\%) | $1.00 \pm 0.40$ |
| Single ingredient | 539 |  |
| Compound drug |  |  |
| ARB/CCB | 234 |  |
| ARB/diuretics | 95 |  |
| Diuretics | 154 (13.9\%) | $0.55 \pm 0.32$ |
| Single ingredient | 60 |  |
| Compund drug ARB/diuretics | 95 |  |
| (single and compound) | (-1) |  |
| Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors | 44 ( 4\%) | $1.15 \pm 0.52$ |
| Beta-blockers (including alpha-beta blockers) | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \text { ( } 10.4 \% \text { ) } \\ & \text { (59) } \end{aligned}$ | $0.83 \pm 0.38$ |
| Alpha-blockers | 95 ( 8.6\%) | $0.46 \pm 0.25$ |
| Aldosteron antagonists | 81 ( 7.3\%) | $0.73 \pm 0.29$ |
| Renin inhibitor | 5 ( 0.5\%) | 1 |
| Other vasodilators | 2 ( 0.2\%) | 1 |

The score of antihypertensive drugs was adjusted to the standard dose.
Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellites; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CAD, coronary arterial disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; BP , blood pressure

Table 2 Achievement ratio of target BP according to JSH 2014 guidelines

| Category | Number | Office target BP | Achieved | Home target BP | Achieved | Overall achievment ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patients 75 years or older | 388 | $\mathrm{SBP}<150 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 355 (91.5\%) | SBP $<145 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<85 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 324/367 (88.2\%) | 346 (89.2\%) |
| Patients younger than 75 years | 567 | SBP $<140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 412 (72.5\%) | SBP $<135 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<85 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 378/550 (68.2\%) | 392 (69.1\%) |
| Patients with DM | 108 | SBP $<130 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 38 (35.2\%) | $\mathrm{SBP}<125 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<75 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 16/100 (16.0\%) | 10 ( 9.3\%) |
| Patients with CKD but non-DM preteinuria | 42 | SBP $<130 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 9 (21.4\%) | $\mathrm{SBP}<125 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP $<75 \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 4 (9.5\%) | 5 (11.9\%) |

Abbreviations: JSH, the Japanese Society of hypertension guidelines for the management of hypertension 2014; BP, blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure: CAD, coronary arterialt disease; CDV, cerebrovascular disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DM, diabetes mellitus.
control in patients younger than 75 years including patients with CAD and CVD was $69.1 \%$. The rate of BP control in CKD patients with non-diabetic proteinuria who were not late-phase elderly was $11.9 \%$. In all cases, the rate of controlled BP in the office was higher than that in the home.

Comparisons between Patients in whom BP was Controlled or Non-Controlled in Patients 75 Years and Older, and in Patients Younger than 75 Years (Table 3)

Among patients 75 years and older, the total number of antihypertensive agents and the scores used for the treatment of hypertension in patients were significantly higher in the noncontrol group. However, the medication compliance was significantly greater in the BP control group than in the non-control group ( $91.0 \%$ vs. $76.2 \%$ ) in patients 75 years and older, and it was similar in the patients younger than 75 years group. In patients younger than 75 years, the total number of antihypertensive drugs and their scores were not significantly different. The average age and sex ratio were significantly different in that the non-control group was younger than the control group and the ratio of males to females was larger in the non-control group.

## Comparisons between Patients in whom BP was Controlled or Non-Controlled in Patients with DM, and Non-Diabetic CKD with Proteinuria (Table 4)

There were different patient' characteristics in patients with DM. For example, the average age was older, the female-to-male ratio was larger, and the body mass index (BMI) was smaller in the control group than in the noncontrol group. The other factors were not significantly different. In patients with non-diabetic CKD with proteinuria, the total number of antihypertensive drugs was significantly larger in the control group than in the noncontrol group. In terms of the classes of drugs, the usage
of beta-blockers was higher in the control group than in the non-control group.

## Discussion

The JSH 2014 guidelines have been revised and differ from the previous JSH 2009 guidelines. In young and middle-aged, low-risk patients with hypertension, the target level for BP control was less than $130 / 85 \mathrm{mmHg}$ in the JSH 2009 guidelines. Thus, there was a gap between the criteria for the initiation of antihypertensive agent therapy and the target level of BP control. Since some research ${ }^{13}$ has demonstrated a significantly decreased number of cardiovascular events in young and middle-aged hypertensive patients when the goal BP levels were lower than $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$, the target level of BP control was established as less than $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ in the JSH 2014 guidelines ${ }^{14}$.

The target level of BP control in patients with DM and non-diabetic proteinuria in CKD is less than 130/80 mmHg , because these patients are at high risk of CVD ${ }^{15-17}$. The Kidney Early Evaluation Program Observational Study ${ }^{18}$ reported that the incidence of end-stage renal disease was lowest in patients with a systolic BP of 130 to 140 mmHg . Thus, the target level of BP control in non-diabetic CKD patients without proteinuria is less than $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

The target level of BP control in patients with stroke or CAD is less than $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$. Although evidence regarding the target level of BP in hypertensive patients with CAD is insufficient, the JSH 2014 guidelines recommend it based on the results of the ACTION and JMIC-B trials ${ }^{19-21}$. The target level for patients with stroke remains the same as that in the JSH 2009 guidelines.

Organ damage is frequently observed in late-phase elderly patients, who are older than 75 years. The recom-
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Table 3 Comparisons between target BP achieved and non-achieved groups in patients 75 years or older, and patients younger than 75 years.

|  |  | Patients 75 years or older |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Abbreviations: BP, blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CAD, coronary arterial disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease. The score of antihypertensive drugs was adjusted to the standard dose. \#: The comparison between the groups was calculated by a non-parametric method using the Mann-Whitney test.
mended target level of BP control in these patients is less than $150 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$, and if possible, a further reduction to the final target level of less than $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$. If the
age-based target BP differs because of the presence of concomitant disease, the age-based target BP should be set as the first goal. Of course, if these late-phase elderly

Table 4 Comparisons between target BP achieved and non-achieved groups in DM patients, and CKD with proteinuria but not DM.


Abbreviations: BP, blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; CKD, chronic kidney disease. The score of antihypertensive drugs was adjusted to the standard dose. \#: The comparison between the groups was calculated by a non-parametric method using the Mann-Whitney test.
patients can tolerate it, a lower target BP should be aimed for.

The major difference between the JSH 2014 and 2009 guidelines is that, when there is a discrepancy in diagnosis between office BP and home BP , the home BP-based diagnosis has priority. The target levels of home systolic and diastolic BPs are established as $5-\mathrm{mmHg}$ lower than office systolic and diastolic BPs.

Hypertension is the most common lifestyle-related disease. It is primarily managed by clinicians and general practitioners. The JSH 2014 guidelines were prepared for these physicians. It is important to perform a crosssectional survey to investigate actual clinical practice concerning BP control in patients with hypertension following the revision of the guidelines.

According to the JSH 2014 guidelines, the overall
achievement ratio was $68.1 \%$ ( 753 out of 1,105) in the present study. Although it was based on different management guidelines, this exceeded the ratio of $53.9 \%$ in 2008, $55.1 \%$ in 2009, and $57.1 \%$ in 2011 for surveys in Kanagawa ${ }^{11,12}$.

The highest rate of achievement in target BP level (89.2\%) (Table 2) was seen in the group of late-phase elderly patients. One factor in this achievement of target BP control was good medication compliance ( $91.0 \%$ vs. $76.2 \%$ ) (Table 3). The achievement ratio in patients younger than 75 years (excluding patients with DM and non-diabetic proteinuria, but including patients with CAD and CVD) was $69.1 \%$. Patients' characteristics such as a relatively older age ( $62.1 \pm 9.6$ vs. $59.3 \pm 9.8$ years) and a larger population of females ( $54.6 \%$ vs. $38.9 \%$ ) may contribute to good BP control in these patients (Table 3).

In patients with DM , the achievement ratio of the target BP control was very poor, such as only $9.3 \%$. However, the factors that contributed to BP control included a relatively older age ( $70.1 \pm 2.6$ vs. $63.8 \pm 8.0$ years), a larger population of females ( $70.0 \%$ vs. $30.6 \%$ ), and a smaller BMI ( $21.0 \pm 5.2$ vs. $24.8 \pm 5.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) (Table 4 ). However, in non-diabetic CKD patients with proteinuria, the achievement ratio of BP control was also very poor, such as $11.9 \%$. In these patients one factor of success in BP control was more aggressive antihypertensive treatment. The total number of antihypertensive agents was $3.2 \pm 1.09$ in the control group and $2.05 \pm 0.88$ in the noncontrol group (Table 4). Patient' characteristics were not significantly different between groups; however, the number of patients in these groups was limited. In these two poor BP control groups, more than $90 \%$ of physicians judged these BP levels as permissible (Table 4). The Fukushima research of hypertension ${ }^{22}$ study pointed out that the necessity of improvement in physicians' awareness concerning the management of hypertension according to treatment guidelines and the importance of a healthy lifestyle to maintain goal BP levels. These poor achievement ratios may be due to the strict target levels of BP control, especially in home BP levels, such as less than $125 / 75 \mathrm{mmHg}$. There were no significant differences in office BPs between the two groups but significant differences were seen in home BPs. This may be due to the poorly known new concept that, when there is a discrepancy in diagnosis between office BP and home BP, the home BP-based diagnosis should have priority.

Our study had some limitations. First, this was a crosssectional analysis. Second, this study was performed only six months after the new JSH guidelines were estab-
lished. Third, CAD and CVD patients were included in the patients younger than 75 years group since the numbers of those patients were very small (CAD in 10 cases and CVD in 12 cases) and they had the same BP criteria. Further follow-up survey data are necessary for a full assessment.
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