Efficacy of a Deep Thermal Therapy System for Osteoarthritis of the Knee
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**Background:** This study sought to assess the efficacy of a deep-tissue thermal therapy system with a resonant cavity applicator (DTT-RCA), which safely heats deep joint tissue for treating osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee.

**Methods:** Two groups of participants were recruited. The DTT-RCA group comprised 20 knees. Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) grade was I and II in 8 knees (DTT-RCA I/II group) [mean age 73.3 years (standard deviation 11.4)], III and VI in 12 knees (DTT-RCA III/IV group) [75.4 (8.6) years]. The control group comprised 13 knees [68.2 (10.8) years]. K-L grade was I in 7 knees and II in 6 knees. This group received exercise therapy. The DTT-RCA I/II group and the control group were imaged by MRI T2 mapping at baseline and 6 months to determine the area of cartilage degeneration.

**Results:** Visual Analogue Scale improved only in the DTT-RCA I/II post-intervention (p < 0.01). Japanese Orthopedic Association knee rating scores (DTT-RCA I/II: p < 0.01, control group: p < 0.01), the Japanese Knee Osteoarthritis Measure (DTT-RCA I/II: p < 0.05, control: p < 0.01), and the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (DTT-RCA I/II: p < 0.01, DTT-RCA III/IV: p < 0.05, control: p < 0.01) post-intervention. The magnitude of change did not differ significantly between the three groups. The area of cartilage degeneration did not change significantly post-intervention in the DTT-RCA I/II group, not even relative to the control group.

**Conclusions:** This was the first study to test a DTT-RCA system in patients with knee OA. The system reduced the clinical symptoms of knee OA and could potentially be effective for conservative therapy.
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**Introduction**

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is a degenerative disease that causes pain and functional impairment. It has become a global problem because it not only causes pain and distress for patients themselves\(^1\), but also imposes a high-cost burden on healthcare systems\(^2\). No radical therapy for OA of the knee currently exists\(^3\), and it must be treated with a combination of modalities such as physical therapy, exercise therapy, orthotics, and pharmacotherapy.

Hyperthermia is widely used in routine physical therapy for musculoskeletal disorders\(^4\), but there is little high-quality evidence regarding hyperthermia for OA of the knee\(^5\), and absolutely no clinical evidence regarding the disease-modifying effect of thermal therapy. However, recent animal studies have shown that heating of articular cartilage attenuates cartilage degeneration\(^6\). It is easy to adequately heat the tiny joints of small animals.
such as rats and guinea pigs, but difficult to heat cartilage in larger human knee joints. Superficial thermal therapy (e.g., hot baths, hot packs, and paraffin wax baths) heats only the skin and subcutaneous tissue, and do not reach the deep tissue of the joints\(^9\). Microwave diathermy systems do not easily heat deep joint tissue either because most of the electromagnetic energy is absorbed at the surface of the affected area\(^9\). Large skin-contact bipolar applicators that wrap around the entire knee can heat the deep tissue of the knee\(^7\), but are not practical for routine use due to their large size and high cost. There are also safety concerns about electromagnetic waves escaping outside the targeted area. Issues with modalities may be a large reason why few studies to date have reported the effectiveness of thermal therapy for OA of the knee.

A deep-tissue thermal therapy system using a resonant cavity applicator (DTT-RCA) is in development to address this problem by establishing a thermal therapy modality that can safely heat deep joint tissue. A study that analyzeds the distribution of temperature in the body using a finite element method model numerically confirmed the potential to achieve deeper heat penetration than that possible with existing microwave therapy devices used in the clinic\(^9\). This potential has also been demonstrated experimentally by testing a prototype system on muscle phantoms made of agar\(^12,14\). Other reports have confirmed the performance of a DTT-RCA system using a phantom and then validated its safety and efficacy in knees of healthy volunteers\(^13,15,16\). However, no study has ever tested DTT-RCA in patients with OA of the knee, and thus its effects on OA symptoms and articular cartilage are unknown.

The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy of 6 months of treatment with DTT-RCA for OA of the knee. The hypothesis was that joint pain would decrease and function would improve post-intervention.

### Materials and Methods

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of our institutions and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (Approval Number: 13-B-248). The participants were informed that data from the study would be submitted for publication, and all gave their consent.

Participants were patients who visited the hospital for knee pain and received a diagnosis of OA of the knee. Twenty knees of 20 patients (9 men, 11 women) with a mean age of 74.6 years (standard deviation 9.6) and mean body mass index (BMI) of 25.1 (2.9) were assigned to the DTT-RCA group, and received DTT-RCA for 6 months. The Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) grade, which indicates the stage of knee OA was I in 5 knees and II in 3 knees (DTT-RCA I/II group), III in 6 knees and VI in 6 knees (DTT-RCA III/IV group). Thirteen knees of 13 patients (3 men, 10 women) with a mean age of 68.2 (10.8) years and mean BMI of 24.2 (2.3) were assigned to the control group and received exercise therapy for 6 months. The K-L grade in this group was I in 7 knees and II in 6 knees. Age, height, weight, and BMI did not differ significantly between the three groups (Table 1). Individuals with a history of severe trauma or surgery involving the lower extremities, individuals who were currently receiving treatment, and individuals who did not give informed consent were excluded.

At 6 months post-intervention, questionnaires were administered, and knee cartilage degeneration was assessed qualitatively using MRI T2 mapping. However, assessment of degeneration with MRI T2 mapping was not feasible in patients with a K-L grade of III or higher due to marked loss of cartilage. Therefore, only the DTT-RCA I/II group were evaluated for degeneration.

The 5 questionnaires administered were the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), the Japanese Orthopedic Association knee rating score (JOA score), the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), the Japanese Knee Rating Scale (KRS), and the Walking Ability Questionnaire (WALKQ). The K-JSACL, a Japanese version of the Knee Society Clinical Rating System, was also used to evaluate the severity of pain and function. The K-JSACL is a widely used and validated instrument for the evaluation of knee OA.

### Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DTT-RCA I/II</th>
<th>DTT-RCA III/IV</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex [M/F]</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>3/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age [years]</td>
<td>73.3 (11.4)</td>
<td>75.4 (8.6)</td>
<td>68.2 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height [cm]</td>
<td>161.7 (7.4)</td>
<td>160.0 (6.4)</td>
<td>157.1 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight [kg]</td>
<td>64.1 (11.0)</td>
<td>65.4 (9.1)</td>
<td>60.1 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI [kg/m(^2)]</td>
<td>24.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>25.5 (3.0)</td>
<td>24.2 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1 Setup of deep thermal system using resonant cavity applicator
A: High-frequency amplifier (including an impedance matching unit), B: Resonant cavity applicator, C: adjustable arms

Osteoarthritis Measure (JKOM), and the Tegner activity scale (Tegner).

MR examinations were performed using a 3.0-T MR unit (Achieva 3.0T; Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands). Patients were placed with the knee in about 15° flexion and coronal images were acquired for slices parallel to a line connecting the edges of the medial and lateral posterior tibial condyles. Multi-echo spin echo images (repetition time/echo time, 4,613 ms/10 × n (n=1-8) slice thickness 3 mm; field of view 14 cm; matrix 256 × 256) were acquired for T2-mapping. To avoid the partial volume effect of fluid collection near the articular cartilage, voxels showing T2 values >130 ms were excluded. On a coronal T2 mapping image, the slice showing the medial meniscal body in the smallest size was selected as the central slice. This slice and 2 more adjacent slices at 5 mm anterior and 5 mm posterior to the central slice were used for cartilage assessment. From each slice, the medial femoral cartilage and medical tibial cartilage were extracted by direct segmentation using proton density-weighted images as reference. For each of the extracted cartilages, parts with a T2 value between 50 ms and 130 ms were considered to represent degenerative change and the area was calculated using workstation software (Aze³; VirtualPlace AZE, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The total area of cartilage degeneration was calculated as the sum of areas of the 3 parts calculated with this method. To standardize the procedure, all measurements were performed by a single investigator.

DTT-RCA Group
Patients in the DTT-RCA group were treated with DTT-RCA once every 2 weeks. The knee was heated for 20 min at a power of 20-40 W. The resonance frequency fluctuates in accordance with the size of the patient’s knee and the position of the device, but the auto-tuning system of the prototype device automatically tunes the resonance frequency to within the set frequency range, enabling stable heating. The frequency range was set to 420-470 MHz (Fig. 1)⁷.

Control Group
The control group was assigned to do 5 exercises: 1) ankle range of motion exercise involving active plantar- and dorsiflexion of the ankle while sitting with the legs stretched out on the ground; 2) knee range of motion exercise involving passive flexion and extension of the knee while sitting with the legs stretched out on the ground; 3) quadriceps strengthening exercise involving extension of the knee while sitting with legs stretched out on the ground or on a bed; 4) hip abduction exercise involving abduction of the hip in a lateral recumbent position; and 5) squats. A physical therapist guided patients through these exercises and checked their form once a week. Patients performed 3 sets of 10 repetitions of these exercises daily.

Statistical Analysis
Due to the small sample size, nonparametric tests were selected for all analyses. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare pre- and post-intervention values and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the magnitude of change between the three groups. Mann-Whitney U test was applied to the change of cartilage degeneration area to compare between the DTT-RCA I/II and control groups. Significance was set at α = 0.05 for all tests. We used SPSS Statistical Package (Version 23; SPSS, Chicago, IL) for all statistical analysis.

Results
The magnitude of change in VAS were −16.7 [95% confidence interval: −26.9, −6.4] in the DTT-RCA I/II group (p < 0.01), 6.3 [−8.5, 21.0] in the DTT-RCA III/IV, and −10.8 [−28.2, 6.5] in the control group (same order of results below). The magnitude of change in JOA score were 10.6 [6.7, 14.5] (p < 0.01)/5.0 [−4.7, 8.5] (p < 0.01)/14.7 [9.6, 19.9] (p < 0.01), JKOM were −16.8 [−25.0, −8.5] (p < 0.05)/−4.2 [−13.1, 4.8]/−14.5 [−20.3, −8.8] (p < 0.01), KOOS were 15.0 [8.3, 21.6] (p < 0.01) [−10.8, 6.5] in the control group (same order of results below). The magnitude of change in JOA score were 10.6 [6.7, 14.5] (p < 0.01)/5.0 [−4.7, 8.5] (p < 0.01)/14.7 [9.6, 19.9] (p < 0.01), and Tegner were 0.3 [−0.5, 1.0]/−0.3 [−0.9, 0.2]/−0.2 [−0.9, 0.5]. The magnitude of change did not differ significantly between the three groups (Table 2).
and 15.1 mm\(^{-2}\), \([-25.5, 16.6\]). In the control group, results (same order) in the DTT-RCA I/II group was 184.3 mm\(^2\) pre-intervention and 179.9 mm\(^2\), \([-10.8, 28.2, 6.3\]) post-intervention, and the magnitude of change was \(-4.5\) mm\(^2\) \([-25.5, 16.6\]).

There is little evidence for thermal therapy in treating DTT-RCA. Fig. 2 shows that improvement was not significant, and the magnitude of change did not decrease in the DTT-RCA I/II group post-intervention, but not significantly so, and the magnitude of change did not differ significantly between groups, either (Table 3, Fig. 2). No patient complained of adverse events due to DTT-RCA.

**Discussion**

Table 2 Pre- and post-intervention questionnaire results for Deep Thermal System (DTT-RCA) group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Pre-intervention</th>
<th>Post-intervention</th>
<th>(Post) - (Pre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>DTT-RCA I/II**</td>
<td>39.1 [28.1, 50.1]</td>
<td>22.4 [12.5, 32.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTT-RCA III/IV</td>
<td>37.3 [27.4, 47.1]</td>
<td>43.5 [32.9, 54.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>51.2 [40.6, 61.7]</td>
<td>40.3 [24.4, 56.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOA</td>
<td>DTT-RCA I/II**</td>
<td>83.8 [78.6, 88.9]</td>
<td>94.4 [90.5, 98.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTT-RCA III/IV</td>
<td>76.7 [68.3, 85.1]</td>
<td>81.7 [75.5, 87.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control**</td>
<td>79.2 [74.2, 84.3]</td>
<td>87.7 [83.5, 91.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control**</td>
<td>32.2 [24.0, 40.3]</td>
<td>17.6 [14.4, 20.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS</td>
<td>DTT-RCA I/II**</td>
<td>68.7 [60.2, 77.1]</td>
<td>83.6 [78.1, 89.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTT-RCA II/IV*</td>
<td>56.3 [49.3, 63.2]</td>
<td>63.0 [54.3, 71.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control**</td>
<td>58.2 [50.7, 65.7]</td>
<td>72.9 [67.5, 78.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tegner</td>
<td>DTT-RCA I/II</td>
<td>2.1 [1.4, 2.8]</td>
<td>2.4 [2.0, 2.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTT-RCA III/IV</td>
<td>1.9 [1.5, 2.4]</td>
<td>1.6 [1.2, 2.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.7 [1.9, 3.5]</td>
<td>2.5 [1.9, 3.2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means value [95% confidence interval]. Significant improvement after intervention: \(*p<0.05, **p<0.01\). The magnitude of change did not differ significantly between the three groups. Lower scores are better for VAS and JKOM, and higher scores are better for JOA, KOOS, and Tegner. A negative magnitude of change indicates improvement for the first 2, and a positive magnitude of change for the last 3. VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; JOA, The Japanese Orthopedic Association knee rating score; JKOM, Japanese Knee Osteoarthritis Measure; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; Tegner, Tegner activity scale.

Table 3 Area of cartilage degeneration determined by MRI T2 mapping (mm\(^2\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Pre-intervention</th>
<th>Post-intervention</th>
<th>(Post) - (Pre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DTT-RCA I/II</td>
<td>184.3 [152.9, 215.8]</td>
<td>179.9 [160.0, 199.7]</td>
<td>(-4.5 [-25.5, 16.6])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>145.3 [125.6, 164.9]</td>
<td>160.4 [135.8, 185.0]</td>
<td>(15.1 [0.1, 30.2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>160.2 [141.6, 178.7]</td>
<td>167.8 [150.7, 185.0]</td>
<td>(7.7 [-5.0, 20.3])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means value [95% confidence interval]. There was no significant difference post-intervention and no significant difference in the magnitude of change between groups. DTT-RCA I/II: Kellgren-Lawrence grade I and II patients treated with deep-tissue thermal therapy using a resonant cavity applicator.

The area of cartilage degeneration on MRI T2 mapping in the DTT-RCA I/II group was 184.3 mm\(^2\) \([152.9, 215.8]\) pre-intervention and 179.9 mm\(^2\) \([160.0, 199.7]\) post-intervention, and the magnitude of change was \(-4.5\) mm\(^2\) \([-25.5, 16.6]\). In the control group, results (same order) were 145.3 mm\(^2\) \([125.6, 164.9]\), 160.4 mm\(^2\) \([135.8, 185.0]\), and 15.1 mm\(^2\) \([0.1, 30.2]\). The mean area of degeneration decreased in the DTT-RCA I/II group post-intervention, but not significantly so, and the magnitude of change did not differ significantly between groups, either (Table 3, Fig. 2). No patient complained of adverse events due to DTT-RCA.

The area of cartilage degeneration on MRI T2 mapping OA of the knee. The latest Osteoarthritis Research Society International guidelines rate the quality of evidence as “very low”\(^5\). However, thermal therapy has been shown to reduce pain and improve function in various studies of treatments such as superficial local hot and cold application\(^5\), local microwave diathermy\(^6\), and spa therapy\(^7\). In this study, we found that thermal therapy did not reduce knee pain but did improve function and reduce symptoms. OA of the knee is caused by not only factors inside the knee joint, but also factors in extra-articular soft tissue\(^8\) and periarticular tissue\(^9,10\). A temperature increase of 1°C increases metabolic activity; an increase of 2°C-3°C reduces muscle spasms, increases blood flow, and reduces chronic inflammation; an increase of 4°C enhances the viscoelastic properties of collagen\(^11\). This may
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be why superficial thermal therapy reduced periarticular pain, but deep-tissue thermal therapy with the DTT-RCA system did not. This result seems logical considering that articular cartilage does not contain nerves or blood vessels. However, studies in animal models have shown the following: deep-tissue thermal therapy improves matrix metabolism in articular cartilage; heat shock protein 70 produced by chondrocytes in response to thermal stimulation protects cartilage by slowing apoptosis of chondrocytes, which is a critical element in progression of OA; and deep-tissue thermal therapy strongly upregulates expression of proteoglycans and type II collagen, which are key components of the cartilage matrix. This indicates that the improvement in function and reduction of symptoms we observed in this study may have been produced through a different mechanism than superficial thermal therapy.

The area of cartilage degeneration did not change significantly post-intervention in the DTT-RCA I/II group, not even relative to the control group. One study found that application of moist heat by heat- and steam-generating sheets for 6 h a day for 12 weeks significantly reduced MRI T2 values. The results of that study showed the potential positive effects of thermal therapy on cartilage metabolism. In the present study, we performed deep-tissue thermal therapy only once every 2 weeks in 20-min sessions. In another study, we found that 20 min of DTT-RCA produced a temperature increase of about 5°C in an agar phantom, and a comparable increase of about 5°C in healthy volunteers as evaluated by image displacement (unpublished data). In the present study, we emphasized safety over efficacy because, to our knowledge, it was the first study to be done in patients with OA of the knee. However, it may be acceptable to increase the duration or frequency of DTT-RCA because the protocol treatment did not cause any adverse events. One noteworthy finding is that 2 patients in the DTT-RCA I/II group but no patients in the control group had the cartilage degeneration area decrease by at least 40 mm² (Fig. 2). This could indicate that heating by DTT-RCA affected proteoglycan and type II collagen expression because MRI T2 mapping findings correlate with fluid content in cartilage and with type II collagen. Exercise therapy is an essential component of conservative therapy for OA of the knee but is unlikely to directly impact degenerated cartilage. Future studies should analyze the factors that reduce cartilage degeneration in deep-tissue thermal therapy and the characteristics of patients who benefit from the therapy.

Several limitations of our study need to be considered. First is the insufficient sample size. The effect size for the magnitude of change in MRI T2 values in the DTT-RCA I/II group was 0.267, and statistical power was 0.101. Assessment of 112 knees would thus have been necessary to achieve a power of 0.8 to demonstrate a significant difference in the area of degeneration post-intervention.
when $\alpha = 0.05$. Second is that we did not consider factors that affect cartilage degeneration. Due once again to the insufficient sample size, we were unable to adjust for factors such as BMI, exercise habits, level of activity at work, and disease duration in analysis of treatment effect. Third is that we only assessed cartilage degeneration based on MRI T2 values in patients with early-stage OA of the knee. This was unavoidable because assessment using T2 values is not feasible in late-stage disease. Consequently, we do not know the effect of DTT-RCA on highly degenerated articular cartilage. Nonetheless, the main strength of our study is that the heating mechanism and efficacy of the DTT-RCA system has been established in prior studies. Up to this point, thermal therapy has generally been considered ineffective. This study has the potential to reverse opinions on thermal therapy if these results are used to improve the utility of the DTT-RCA system.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to test a DTT-RCA system in patients with OA of the knee. With 6 months of treatment once every 2 weeks, this system improved function and reduced symptoms in patients with OA of the knee, and it was improved in early-stage OA rather than the severe-stage OA. The reason may be that cartilage still remains in early-stage OA of the knee.

In addition, the area of articular cartilage degeneration decreased in some patients with early-stage disease. These results indicate that DTT-RCA could be an effective conservative therapy for OA of the knee.
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